

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 205 OF 1989.

Date of decision: 8.1.1996.

For approval and signature

The Honourable Mr. Justice S. M. Soni

and

The Honourable Mr. Justice R. R. Jain

Mr. B.K. Damani, advocate for applicant.

Mr. Manish R. Bhatt, advocate for respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of judgment?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

Coram: S.M. Soni & R.R. Jain, JJ.

-----

January 8, 1996.

Oral judgment (Per Soni, J.)

It was thought necessary to have before us the respondents and, therefore, some of those who are residents of Ahmedabad were issued bailable warrants. However, the said warrants have returned unserved with an endorsement to the effect that they have left the place

long back and it is not known where they are residing at present. This state of affair was disclosed to the applicant on 20.12.1995. Despite the same, advocate for the applicant is absent and no particulars are furnished to us about respondents. In view of this fact, we dismiss this application for want of prosecution. Hence, application is dismissed. Rule discharged. No costs.